

Exhibit E

Cross Creek Estates:

Variance Criteria

- a. What are the exceptional or extraordinary conditions or circumstances that are inherent to the property in question?

The lakes were excavated by the original developer, and it appears from survey data they may have not been graded in compliance with the development order (89-05-015) plans issued at the time. If the banks eroded to the condition surveyed now, it would have shown more evidence of the eroded material collecting at the littoral area, or the lake bottom and the survey data does not indicate so.

The existing lake control line is in portions of the lake tracts, only several feet from the rear yard property lines and lake tract boundary. If the lakes were to be constructed to original typical section pursuant to the LDO2021-00347 permitted lake rehabilitation, an impractical amount of imported fill would be needed to replicate that typical section for all lakes. The construction equipment accessing to and around those lakes and imported fill hauling, could have potential detrimental affects to neighboring residential structures. We are hoping to reduce the lake maintenance area to no less than 12 feet in several portions of the lake tract boundaries. The reduction to 12 feet still provides suitable width for serving the maintenance needs for the lakes in the future, and in most cases up to 20 feet is being provided for most of the lake bank areas.

In addition, we are requesting to change the minimum lake bank slope from 6:1 to 4:1 top help achieve the lake bank restoration due to the existing field conditions surveyed for the lakes. Again, meeting the 6:1 slope requirement would also entail importing an impractical amount of imported fill.

- b. Is the requested variance the minimum that will relieve the applicant of an unreasonable burden caused by the regulation in question? If not, what is the minimum variance?

The reduction of the lake maintenance area to 12 feet is practical width and allowing 4:1 slope is consistent with County and SFWMD policy.

- c. Will the granting of the variance be injurious to the neighborhood or otherwise detrimental to the public welfare?

In fact, not granting this variance has more probability of being injurious to the neighborhood due to the amount of earth import, construction activity and equipment needed. By granting this variance request, the lake bank restoration can continue with more reasonable sized construction equipment that can access the lakes between available side yard easements and allow the LDO2021-00347 lake restoration to proceed.

LDC Compliance: Submit pertinent calculations which demonstrate that the overall development complies with all other applicable zoning and development standards. [34-202(b)(6)]

The variance request does not impact any other development criteria. The lake banks will be restored, and all required lake plantings will be either preserved or enhanced to meet the original Development Order.

Letters of No Objection: Submit Letters of No Objection from all adjacent property owners, including those separated from the subject property by any right-of-way easements, or as required by the Director. [34-203(a)(7)]

The applicant CROSS CREEK ESTATES COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION, INC. is the master property owner's association and the owner of the lake tracts and other common areas. The Board regularly meets with their residents and also provides social network updates to the community. The residents are hopeful the variance is approved which would substantially lessen the financial impact of conducting the lake restoration without the variance approval.